INTRO: Dan Cristofard- Gardiner: Floer Theory and Its Applications

User's guide structure of talk.

HF⁺ is especially topological.

(705) Application 1: Proof of Weinstein Conjecture (Taubes, 2007)

a) Statement: λ contact form (λ A d > 0, so Y oriented). Get a vector field R defined by d λ(R,·) = 0, λ(R)=1,
periodic orbits called Reeb orbits: (+Y closed)

Conjecture: J a Reeb orbit.

analogues in higher dimensions are wide open.

b) Context: why care?

Hamiltonian mechanics

 $(M^{\epsilon n}, \omega)$, $d\omega = 0$, $\omega^n \neq 0$. H: $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $\longrightarrow X_H$ defined by $\omega(X_H, \cdot) = dH$.

Exercise: Xn exactly encodes Hamilton's equations of motion.

Conservation of energy: flow of XH preserves H: XH(H) = dH(XH) = W(XH,XH)=0. Dynamics of XH preserve H.

What can I say about H⁻¹(E)? (assuming E is a regular value) Must there be periodic orbits along H⁻¹(E)? Answer: No is 3 mflds w/ H.v.f. with no periodic orbits. e.g. **Rehnder:** Let $M = T^{+} = \left(\frac{R}{2\pi 7L}\right)^{4}$, $W = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + c dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + dx_3 \wedge dx_4$ where $c \in \mathbb{Q}^{6}$ $H = sin(x_4)$ Compute $X_H = cos(x_4)(\partial x_3 + c \partial x_1)$. Has no periodic orbits except when $x_4 = 0$, which never happens for a regular value of H.

regular value I(Rabinowitz, 70's) $Y = H^{-1}(E)$, $M = IR^{2N}$, Wstd. Assume that Y is star shaped (transverse to radial y.f $\Sigma = d = i d$

Fact: Y star shaped is not symplectomorphism invariant. So Weinstein: Y C M hypersurface is of contact type if 3 contact form β on Y s.t w/y = d β .

Exercise: $X_H|_{Y} = f \cdot R$ for some function $f: Y \rightarrow R$.

Upshot: Weinstein's conjecture guarantees periodic orbits of XH along contact type energy levels.

(C) Proof of 3D Weinstein Conjecture

i) More about ECH: it's the homology of a chain complex (ECC, 2) i.e. ECC is a vector space, and 2 is a linear map $\partial^{2} = 0$. Then ECH = $\frac{\ker(2)}{Im(2)}$. ECC is generated by certain finite sets $\{(\alpha_{i}, m_{i})\}$ Such that α_{i} are distinct, embedded Reeb orbits, and $m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$.



by certain, we don't take all

Fact: $dim(HM(1)) = \infty$. Due to Kronheimer + Mrowka.

Since ECH(4) = Hin, dim (ECH)=00. But it no Reeb orbits, dim (ECH)=1 because empty set

Application 2:

Theorem (C - , Hutchings '2013) 3 > 2 orbits.

Remark: examples exist with exactly 2, e.g. $H = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2$.

Need the following inputs :

```
- 3 W : ECH(Y) - ECH(Y)
```

```
"Counts index 2 J-holomorphic curves in RXY"
```

- 2 counts I=1 curves in R×1.

Geometric preliminaries: (4,7) \longrightarrow IR × 1 has an almost complex structure J (3:TX \rightarrow TX, $T^2 = -1$).

J preserves Ker(2), compatible with da

We can study



I = ECH index". Key point: I(c) = 2, then C is mostly embedded, and has a 2-dim family of deformations. We can define $U: ECC \rightarrow ECC$ by $U(\alpha) = \Sigma # \mathcal{U}(\alpha; \beta)\beta$, where $\mathcal{U}_{2}(\alpha; \beta)$ is the space of J-holomorphic curves passing through $z \in \mathbb{R} \times Y$. Notably, U agrees with a similar map on HM.

Fact: $W^{N} \neq 0$ for any N. (via Seiberg-Witten cohomology).

Fact: Since UN = 0, for any N, 3 curves C(i),..., C(N) each with C(i) index 2 passing through =.



Fact: (more or less) $\frac{S^2(N)}{N} \rightarrow Vol(Y) = \int_{Y} \lambda \, d\lambda.$ Weyl law.

Shelch proof of two orbits. Assume I only one orbit T of period T. Can show then $\int \frac{d\lambda}{C(i)} > 0$. On the other hand, $\int_{C(i)} d\lambda = mT$ by stokes' theorem (m $\in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$). Hence, S(N) grows linearly in N, contradicting the Weyl law.